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“Why academic program review?” Think 3,2,1...

3 questions
- Where are we now?
- Where do we want to go?
- How will we get there?

2 actions
- Reflection
- Planning

1 outcome
- Program Excellence

Using data to drive inquiry, analysis and direction forward.
Ask yourself:
What does program excellence mean for my program(s)?
Preface: Program review is one of VCU’s tools

• “As colleges and universities move into the next decade, we see the program review becoming far more institutionalized than at present. The program review, along with assessment and accreditation, will become part of the routine institutional processes resulting in improved programs and greater effectiveness” (Barak & Breier, 1990, p. 126).

• “The program review, when done successfully, can lead to improved efficiency and effectiveness of higher education. Coupled with an active strategic planning process, institutions can map their futures in ways that will ensure their continued growth and development. The challenge for higher education in the twenty-first century is to respond to its environment. The program review is one of the tools faculty and administrators can utilize in guaranteeing a successful response” (Barak & Breier, 1990, p. 127).
Where have we been?
A brief look back

Launch: 2012-2014*
- 6-year review cycle
- 2 cycles, 56 programs (50 completed)
- Post-APR debriefings with interim provost did not occur (personnel change)
- Interim provost and deans formally paused APR
- APR committee formed

Lessons: 2015-2018*
- 8-year review cycle
- Self-study template
- Integrated assessment quality review
- Standardized data sheets plus training workshops
- Modifications for accredited programs

Reset: 2019
- Office of the Provost fully engaged
- Work of APR committee concluded
- Key lessons from APR committee incorporated into reset plan
- Relaunch set for Spring 2020

What is academic program review?
Academic program review at VCU...

- program viability, audit or prioritization.
- degree program accreditation.
- annual learning outcomes assessment.

Note: Academic program review does support these critical functions.
Academic program review at VCU...

- is **faculty-driven**, administration supported.
- is **action research**.
- is an opportunity for **rigorous, data-informed conversations**.
- is visionary and **transformative**.
Why do we engage in academic program review?
Reminder: Think 3,2,1...

3 questions
- Where are we now?
- Where do we want to go?
- How will we get there?

2 actions
- Reflection
- Planning

1 outcome
- Program Excellence

Using data to drive inquiry, analysis and direction forward.
Academic program review allows us to see clearly where we are, envision the future and create a roadmap to where we want to be.

Using data to drive inquiry, analysis and direction forward.
Reflection and planning*

1) To promote standards of excellence in teaching, research/scholarship/creative expression, service and patient care.

2) To support responsible stewardship of resources within colleges/schools and departments.

3) To foster continuous improvement of academic programs as a reflection of the university’s commitment to quality and effectiveness.

*Reflection and planning are the foundation on which goals are built.
Excellence is important to many parties!

- Students
- Faculty
- University and academic professionals and staff
- Alumni
- Parents
- Industry/Community Partners/ Employers
Where do we go from here?
## Picturing “success” (1 of 2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GOALS</th>
<th>METRICS*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Make meaningful, strategic improvements (i.e., quality and effectiveness) to VCU’s academic programs.</td>
<td>Extent to which planned strategic improvements to academic programs are achieved by target dates in action plans.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearly define and achieve standards of excellence in key areas: teaching, research/scholarship/creative expression, service and patient care.</td>
<td>Extent to which standards of excellence for each area (e.g., teaching, research, service) are achieved by target dates in action plans.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steward resources responsibly within colleges/schools and departments.</td>
<td>Extent to which goals are achieved within existing resources and/or through new efficiencies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Extent to which units’ revenue generation plans are met.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Evidence for metrics will include quantitative and qualitative data.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GOALS</th>
<th>METRICS*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increase measurable contributions by academic departments to VCU strategic plan.</td>
<td>Extent to which planned contributions are achieved by target dates in action plans.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutionalize academic program review at VCU.</td>
<td># of departments that complete academic program review in assigned cohort.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Extent to which academic program review shaped positive, strategic conversations about academic departments and programs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Evidence for metrics will include quantitative and qualitative data.
How do we get there?
How do we get there?

Get the right people on the bus

- There is a role for everyone in the unit
  - Faculty
  - Staff
  - University and academic professionals
  - Students

Have the right conversations

- Use data to support assertions
- For each category (teaching, research/scholarship/creative expression, service) ask:
  - Where are we now?
  - Where are we trying to go? [goals]
  - How do we get there? [actions/strategies]
Be unified in approach.

Three-part model:*

- Goal-based
- Responsive
- Good to great in the social sectors

*This model informs key considerations for prioritization (quality, productivity, revenue, costs, resource allocation)
Our approach is goal-based

Goal-based evaluation is “the process of identifying program goals, objectives, and standards of performance, using various tools to measure performance, and comparing the data collected against the identified objectives and standards to determine the degree of congruence or discrepancy” (Gardner, 1977, pp. 577-578).

Model organizer = goals and objectives.

Evaluation questions ask
1) To what extent is the program achieving its existing goals and objectives? (Where are we now?)
2) What are our new goals and objectives? (Where do we want to be?)
Our approach is responsive

Our model also incorporates elements from the responsive approach to program review. The responsive approach comes from the qualitative research tradition of naturalistic inquiry (Lincoln & Guba, 1985).

Model organizer = unanticipated questions and concerns from stakeholders.

Evaluation questions ask
1) What are the activities and effects of the program?
2) What does the program look like from a variety of perspectives?

Key stakeholders with voices are faculty, UAP/staff and students.
Our approach is “good to great” focused

Good to great continuum

Core question: How can we more effectively deliver on our mission and make a distinctive impact, relative to our resources?

What are we deeply passionate about
What can we be best in the world at
What drives our resource engine

Source: Good to Great and the Social Sectors, Jim Collins (2005)
Reminder: Think 3,2,1…

3 questions

_where are we now?

Where do we want to go?

How will we get there?

2 actions

Reflection

Planning

1 outcome

Program Excellence

Using data to drive inquiry, analysis and direction forward.
What are some specifics?
Academic program review components

1. Self-study
2. Peer review
3. Action plan
Self-study specifics: Data sheets

• Self-study guiding questions template

• IRDS to provide standard data sheets, along with definitions and training for users. Data to include:
  o Students
  o Faculty and staff
  o Teaching
  o Budget and finance
  o Actual expenditures

• Caveat: Data are an entry point to a conversation; they are not the entire story. Data require interpretation in context.
Peer review specifics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Internal peer review</th>
<th>External peer review</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>People are more likely to embrace what they help to create</td>
<td>Good for VCU programs that do not have separate program accreditations so they have the benefit and experience of focused external input</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engages VCU faculty beyond the assigned departments in each cohort</td>
<td>Potentially redundant for accredited programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal faculty are in a better position to evaluate and offer constructive feedback from a university perspective than external</td>
<td>Greater cost and lower efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal is something different than the accredited programs go through, so will prevent redundancy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased efficiency (reviewers are on-site; less time spent on logistics; greater flexibility)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hybrid Solution**

- 2 internal peer reviewers for all departments
- Non-accredited programs include 1 external reviewer (for a total of three reviewers) to provide an outside perspective
- An external reviewer is not required for accredited programs, if separately required for program accreditation
Action plan specifics

Contains:
- Narrative
- Goals
- Key tasks
- Metrics
- Resources
- Target dates
- Responsible faculty/staff by task

Key reflection for action: How can we more effectively deliver on our mission and make a distinctive impact, relative to our resources?
Timeline: Phase one

1. Re-launch & information sessions
   Oct/Nov. 2019

2. Cohort 1 identified
   Spring 2020

3. Data sheets generated / training sessions occur
   Spring 2020

4. Cohort 1 self-studies
   Fall 2020

5. Peer reviews & action plans
   Spring 2021
Timeline: Phase two

- **Cohorts 2-8 identified**
  - Spring 2020

- **Cohort 2 training sessions**
  - Spring 2021

- **Cohort 2 self-studies**
  - Fall 2021

- **Cohort 2 peer reviews & action plans**
  - Spring 2022

- **Ongoing academic program review with remaining cohorts**

**Refine process**
Again: Think 3,2,1...

3 questions

- Where are we now?
- Where do we want to go?
- How will we get there?

2 actions

- Reflection
- Planning

1 outcome

- Program Excellence

Using data to drive inquiry, analysis and direction forward.
Thank you!
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